arXiv:1211.1686v1 [gr-qc] 7 Nov 2012

Tidal heating and torquing of a Kerr black hole to next-to-leading order in the tidal coupling

Katerina Chatziioannou,[1] Eric Poisson,[2] and Nicolás Yunes[1]

[1]*Department of Physics, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT 59717, USA.*
[2]*Department of Physics, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada NIG 2W1.*

(Dated: November 9, 2012)

We calculate the linear vacuum perturbations of a Kerr black hole surrounded by a slowly-varying external spacetime to third order in the ratio of the black-hole mass to the radius of curvature of the external spacetime. This expansion applies to two relevant physical scenarios: (i) a small Kerr black hole immersed in the gravitational field of a much larger external black hole, and (ii) a Kerr black hole moving slowly around another external black hole of comparable mass. This small-hole/slow-motion approximation allows us to parametrize the perturbation through slowly-varying, time-dependent electric and magnetic tidal tensors, which then enables us to separate the Teukolsky equation and compute the Newman-Penrose scalar analytically to third order in our expansion parameter. We obtain generic expressions for the mass and angular momentum flux through the perturbed black hole horizon, as well as the rate of change of the horizon surface area, in terms of certain invariants constructed from the electric and magnetic tidal tensors. We conclude by applying these results to the two scenarios described above.

PACS numbers: 04.25.Nx, 04.30.Db, 95.30.Sf 04.70.-s

I. INTRODUCTION

The Kerr metric describes the external spacetime of a spinning black hole (BH) in General Relativity and it is the most general, stationary and axisymmetric solution to the vacuum Einstein equations [1–6]. Real astrophysical BHs, however, are not in vacuum, but rather subject to vacuum, gravitational perturbations from surrounding objects. These perturbations will result in a change of the BH intrinsic parameters, characterized by mass and angular momentum flux through the horizon. Since this flux could affect the gravitational-waves (GWs) emitted by BH binary systems through a modification to the balance law and, thus, the GW frequency evolution, it is important to fully understand the horizon dynamics involved.

The effect of tidal perturbations on GWs emitted during BH inspirals varies depending on the system considered [7–10]. On the one hand, for quasi-circular, comparable mass BH inspirals, the effect of these fluxes on the GW phase amounts to less than one radian for an event in the LIGO frequency band [8]. On the other hand, for quasi-circular, extreme mass-ratio inspirals, such tidal effects can increase the duration of the signal up to twenty days in two years for an event in the frequency band of a space-borne detector [11–13]. For eccentric and inclined EMRIs, this effect could be even larger [14–16].

Poisson [17] developed a general formalism for calculating the linear, vacuum, gravitational perturbations of a BH due to a dynamical external universe. In this formalism, one assumes the external universe is *slowly-varying* and the perturbations it produces can be expanded in powers of the ratio of the BH mass to the radius of curvature of the external universe. This external universe is parametrized with the Weyl tensor, which can be written in terms of electric and magnetic tidal tensors and their derivatives. With these expansions, the equation that governs the evolution of linear perturbations, the Teukolsky equation, can be separated and perturbatively solved. Its solutions can then be used to compute the fluxes of mass and angular momentum across the perturbed horizon, as well as the increase in the horizon surface area.

Poisson's work is applicable to both rotating and non-rotating BHs through the use of different frameworks: the *metric formalism* and the *curvature formalism*. The metric formalism consists of directly perturbing the Schwarzschild metric and solving the linearized Einstein equations. This perturbed metric allows for the calculation of the Regge-Wheeler [18] and Zerilli [19] master functions, which can be then used to calculate the horizon fluxes. The curvature formalism consists of perturbatively solving the time-domain Teukolsky equation [20–22] for the Newman-Penrose (NP) scalar ψ_0. This scalar is then used to obtain generic formulas for the horizon fluxes. Although the Schwarzschild case has been studied extensively mostly through the metric formalism [17, 23–28], the Kerr analysis through the curvature formalism has only been carried out to leading-order in inverse powers of the radius of curvature of the external universe [17, 26, 29].

The purpose of this paper is to solve for the linear vacuum perturbations of the Kerr metric to next-to-leading order in inverse powers of the radius of curvature of the external universe through the curvature formalism, so as to obtain the associated tidal fluxes. We work in the curvature formalism because a typical perturbation of the Kerr metric, in terms of tensor spherical harmonics, does not allow for the separation of the linearized Einstein equations in all coordinates. Instead, we choose to work with curvature perturbations, and more specifically with the NP scalar ψ_0, which will be obtained by solving the Teukolsky equation.

We begin by assuming that the external universe causes a vacuum perturbation that is slowly-varying. The Weyl tensor of the external universe can then be decomposed into electric and magnetic tidal tensors that depend only on time. After projecting this Weyl tensor onto an appropriate tetrad, we obtain an asymptotic $\psi_0^{\rm asy}$ that automatically satisfies the Teukolsky equation in the asymptotic region. We construct a full ψ_0 by promoting the radial dependence of $\psi_0^{\rm asy}$ to arbitrary functions of radius. These functions are such that ψ_0 satisfies the Teukolsky equation not just asymptotically, but down to the BH horizon, while approaching $\psi_0^{\rm asy}$ in the asymptotic region. With ψ_0 in hand, we can compute the mass and angular momentum fluxes, as well as the increase in horizon area within the curvature formalism.

The main results of this paper are this full NP scalar, together with the mass and angular momentum fluxes derived from them in terms of certain invariants that parametrize the external universe geometry [see Eqs. (100) and (101)]. These quantities scale as

$$\langle \dot{M} \rangle \sim \mathcal{O}(M^5/\mathcal{R}^5)\,, \tag{1}$$

$$\langle \dot{J} \rangle \sim \mathcal{O}(M^5/\mathcal{R}^4) + \mathcal{O}(M^6/\mathcal{R}^5)\,, \tag{2}$$

where M is the mass of the perturbed BH and J the magnitude of its spin angular momentum. Similarly, the rate of change of the horizon's surface area scales as [see Eq. (102)]

$$\langle \dot{A} \rangle \sim \mathcal{O}(M^5/\mathcal{R}^4) + \mathcal{O}(M^6/\mathcal{R}^5)\,. \tag{3}$$

Certain assumptions in the small-hole/slow-rotation approximation prohibit us from taking the slow-rotation limit and comparing our results to Schwarzschild BH ones. To achieve this comparison we modify these assumptions to obtain expressions valid in the slow-rotation limit of the curvature formalism. With this, we recalculate the NP scalar, as well as the horizon fluxes and the horizon area increase. We show that the results obtained with these new assumptions reduce correctly to the ones obtained in the metric formalism when considering linear vacuum perturbations of the Schwarzschild metric. Therefore, we will here provide two sets of expressions for ψ_0 and the tidal fluxes: one set valid for slowly-rotating BHs and one set valid for rapidly-rotating BHs. The construction of a set of expressions valid uniformly for all rotations is left for future work.

This paper is divided as follows: Section II describes the curvature formalism and the perturbative scheme employed; Section III computes the asymptotic form of the Weyl tensor and the NP scalar; Section IV computes the exact form of the NP scalar ψ_0. Section V computes the Teukolsky potential Ψ and the mass and angular momentum fluxes; Section VI computes the mass and angular momentum fluxes in the limit of a slowly-rotating BHs; Section VII applies our results to certain astrophysically motivated scenarios. Section VIII concludes and points to future research.

Henceforth, we employ the following conventions. We use geometrized units, where $G = 1$ and $c = 1$. The symbol $\mathcal{O}(a)$ stands for terms of relative order a. Greek indices range over spacetime coordinates, while Latin indices in between parentheses denote tetrad components. The Einstein summation convention is assumed all throughout the paper, where repeated indices are to be summed over unless otherwise specified.

II. THE CURVATURE FORMALISM AND THE SMALL-HOLE/SLOW-MOTION APPROXIMATION

A. The Curvature Formalism

The curvature formalism is described in detail in [17] and here we only present the basic ideas that are relevant to this paper.

Let us consider a background Kerr BH with mass M and spin angular momentum with magnitude $J = aM$. Its perturbations can be characterized by the NP scalar ψ_0, which can be written in terms of the perturbed Weyl tensor $\delta C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ as

$$\psi_0 = -\delta C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} l^\alpha m^\beta l^\gamma m^\delta\,, \tag{4}$$

where l^α and m^α are two tetrad 4-vectors. This scalar, when computed in the Kinnersley tetrad, diverges at the unperturbed horizon. Therefore, it is more convenient to express ψ_0 in terms of the Hartle-Hawking tetrad. Using ingoing Kerr coordinates (v, r, θ, ψ), that are related to Boyer-Lindquist coordinates $(t_{\rm BL}, r_{\rm BL}, \theta_{\rm BL}, \phi_{\rm BL})$ through the transformation

$$v = t_{\rm BL} + \int \frac{r^2 + a^2}{\Delta} dr\,, \qquad r = r_{\rm BL}\,, \tag{5}$$

$$\theta = \theta_{\rm BL}\,, \qquad \psi = \phi_{\rm BL} + a \int \frac{1}{\Delta} dr\,, \tag{6}$$

the curvature variable Ψ (the so-called *Teukolsky potential*) is then free of divergences on the horizon [17] and defined by

$$\Psi(v, \theta, \psi) = -\psi_0(\mathrm{HH})\big|_{r=r_+} = -\frac{\Delta^2}{4(r^2+a^2)^2}\psi_0(\mathrm{K})\bigg|_{r=r_+}\,. \tag{7}$$

Here, $\psi_0(K)$ and $\psi_0(\mathrm{HH})$ are the NP scalars in the Kinnersley and Hartle-Hawking tetrad respectively, $\Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2$ and $r_\pm = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$ is the radial location of the unperturbed Kerr horizon. The axial symmetry of the Kerr solution allows us to decompose Ψ in azimuthal modes

$$\Psi(v, \theta, \psi) = \sum_m \Psi^m(v, \theta) e^{im\psi}\,, \tag{8}$$

that evolve independently.

Using the dynamics of the perturbed horizon, we can calculate the change in its surface area via [17]

$$\frac{\kappa}{8\pi}\langle\dot{A}\rangle = \frac{r_+^2+a^2}{2}\sum_m \int \langle|\Phi_+^m|^2\rangle \sin\theta d\theta \,. \tag{9}$$

Using the first law of BH mechanics

$$\frac{\kappa}{8\pi}\langle\dot{A}\rangle = \langle\dot{M}\rangle - \Omega_H\langle\dot{J}\rangle\,, \tag{10}$$

and the fact that

$$\langle\dot{M}\rangle_{m,\omega} = \frac{\omega}{m}\langle\dot{J}\rangle_{m,\omega}\,, \tag{11}$$

for any (m,ω) Fourier mode, the mass and angular momentum fluxes are [17]

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\dot{M}\rangle = \frac{r_+^2+a^2}{4\kappa}\sum_m \Big[& 2\kappa\int\langle|\Phi_+^m|^2\rangle\sin\theta d\theta \\ & - im\Omega_H\int\langle\bar{\Phi}_+^m\Phi_-^m - \Phi_+^m\bar{\Phi}_-^m\rangle\sin\theta d\theta\Big]\,,\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

and

$$\langle\dot{J}\rangle = -\frac{r_+^2+a^2}{4\kappa}\sum_{m\neq 0}(im)\int\langle\bar{\Phi}_+^m\Phi_-^m - \Phi_+^m\bar{\Phi}_-^m\rangle\sin\theta d\theta\,, \tag{13}$$

where

$$\Phi_+^m(v,\theta) = e^{\kappa v}\int_v^\infty e^{-(\kappa - im\Omega_H)v'}\Psi^m(v',\theta)dv'\,, \tag{14}$$

$$\Phi_-^m(v,\theta) = \int_{-\infty}^v e^{im\Omega_H v'}\Psi^m(v',\theta)dv'\,, \tag{15}$$

are integrated curvatures. In the above equations $\kappa = (r_+ - M)/(r_+^2+a^2)$ is the surface gravity of the unperturbed Kerr horizon and $\Omega_H = a/(r_+^2+a^2)$ is the angular velocity of the unperturbed horizon.

B. The Small-Hole/Slow-Motion Approximation

For a non-rotating BH, there are only two relevant length scales: the mass of the BH M and the radius of curvature of the external universe $\mathcal{R}$. The assumption that the external universe is varying slowly implies that

$$\frac{M}{\mathcal{R}} \ll 1\,. \tag{16}$$

If we assume that our perturbed BH is in a circular orbit around some other object of mass $M_{\rm ext}$, then $\mathcal{R}$ is given by the GW wavelength, which leads to

$$\frac{M}{\mathcal{R}} \sim \frac{M}{M+M_{\rm ext}}V^3 \ll 1\,, \tag{17}$$

where V is the orbital velocity.

The above requirement can be met in two ways: the *small-hole approximation* or the *slow-motion approximation*. In the former, $M/M_{\rm ext} \ll V^{-3}$, so our perturbed BH is immersed in the gravitational field of a much larger BH and the orbital velocity is unrestricted. Of course, since V cannot exceed one, this condition translates to $M/M_{\rm ext} \ll 1$. In the slow-motion approximation, $V \ll (1 + M_{\rm ext}/M)^{1/3}$ so the BH moves slowly and the masses of the BHs are unrestricted. Since the masses are positive, this condition can be met if one requires that $V \ll 1$.

The rotating case is slightly different, since now we have two length scales associated with the perturbed BH. One of them is again M, while the second is the time scale associated with rotation

$$T_{\rm rot} = \frac{1}{\Omega_H} = \frac{r_+^2+a^2}{a} = \frac{2M(1+\sqrt{1-\chi^2})}{\chi}\,, \tag{18}$$

where we have defined the dimensionless spin parameter $\chi = a/M$. The requirement that the external universe be slowly-varying implies that both M and $T_{\rm rot}$ be small compared to $\mathcal{R}$. The second condition gives

$$\frac{M}{\mathcal{R}} \ll \chi\,, \tag{19}$$

and since $0 < \chi < 1$ for Kerr black holes, this is a stronger constraint than Eq. (16). This, in turn, changes the defining conditions of the slow-motion/small-hole approximations into

$$\frac{M}{M+M_{\rm ext}}V^3 \ll \chi\,. \tag{20}$$

Thus, in the small-hole approximation, we must require that $M/M_{\rm ext} \ll \chi/V^3$, while in the slow-motion approximation we must have $V \ll \chi^{1/3}(1+M_{\rm ext}/M)^{1/3}$. For equal-mass, slowly-rotating BHs in a circular orbit, which come into contact with a velocity of roughly $V \sim 0.4$, the above condition puts a limit on how small χ can be, namely $\chi \gg 0.03$.

The above constraint prohibits us from taking the $\chi = 0$ limit and comparing our results to those obtained within Schwarzschild BH perturbation theory [17]. The slowly-rotating case requires a different analysis, where our expansion parameter no longer is forced to satisfy $M/\mathcal{R} \ll \chi$, but rather $M/\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{O}(\chi)$. Physically, this is because in the $\chi \to 0$ limit, the rotational timescale formally diverges (see Eq. (18)), and thus, we cannot require that the radius of curvature of the external universe be much larger than it. The slow-rotation limit of the small-hole/slow-motion approximation is described in more detail in Sec. VI.

III. ASYMPTOTIC FORMS

Since the perturbations we are dealing with are of vacuum type, in the sense that there is no matter in the vicinity of the background BH, the effect of the external universe is fully described by its Weyl tensor in the neighborhood of the background BH. Our first task is, therefore, to determine its asymptotic form through order $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{R}^{-3})$.

To perform this computation we may ignore the gravitational field of the BH, which at $r \gg r_+$ is negligible compared to the tidal effects of the external gravitational field. If we imagine that the BH moves on a timelike geodesic γ in the external gravitational field, we may express the external Weyl tensor as a Taylor expansion in powers of r at fixed v [27]. The Taylor expansion is implemented formally as

$$C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = g_{\alpha}^{\ \alpha'} g_{\beta}^{\ \beta'} g_{\gamma}^{\ \gamma'} g_{\delta}^{\ \delta'} \left[C_{\alpha'\beta'\gamma'\delta'} - C_{\alpha'\beta'\gamma'\delta';\epsilon'}\sigma^{\epsilon'} + O\!\left(\frac{r^2}{\mathcal{R}^4}\right)\right], \tag{21}$$

where $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ is the Weyl tensor evaluated at a point x off the world line, while $C_{\alpha'\beta'\gamma'\delta'}$ is the Weyl tensor evaluated at the advanced point x' on the world line, which is linked to x by a future-directed (from x to x') null geodesic. The quantity $\sigma(x, x')$ is half the squared geodesic distance between x and x', $\sigma_{\alpha'}$ is its gradient with respect to $x^{\alpha'}$, and $g_{\alpha}^{\ \alpha'}(x, x')$ is the parallel propagator from x to x'.

Following the methods described in Appendix D of [27], we erect a tetrad $(u^{\alpha'}, e^{\alpha'}_{a})$ on the world line γ, which we parallel transport off the world line with $g^{\alpha}_{\ \alpha'}$. Tensors on and off the world line can be decomposed on the tetrad, which we indicate for example via $C_{a0b0}(x) = C_{\alpha\gamma\beta\delta} e^{\alpha}_{a} u^{\gamma} e^{\beta}_{b} u^{\delta}$, in which $e^{\alpha}_{a} = g^{\alpha}_{\ \alpha'} e^{\alpha'}_{a}$ and $u^{\alpha} = g^{\alpha}_{\ \alpha'} u^{\alpha'}$. It may be shown that the frame components of the parallel propagator differ from the Minkowski metric by terms of order r^2 and higher, so that they play no role in an expansion of the Weyl tensor through order r. Making use of $\sigma^{\alpha'} = r u^{\alpha'} - x^{a} e^{\alpha'}_{a}$ — Eq. (D4) of [27] — we find that the frame components of the Weyl tensor are

$$\begin{aligned} C_{a0b0}(v, r, \theta, \psi) &= C_{a0b0}(v, 0) - r\dot{C}_{a0b0}(v, 0) \\ &\quad + x^{e} C_{a0b0|e}(v, 0) + O(r^2/\mathcal{R}^4), \qquad \text{(22a)} \\ C_{abc0}(v, r, \theta, \psi) &= C_{abc0}(v, 0) - r\dot{C}_{abc0}(v, 0) \\ &\quad + x^{e} C_{abc0|e}(v, 0) + O(r^2/\mathcal{R}^4), \qquad \text{(22b)} \\ C_{abcd}(v, r, \theta, \psi) &= C_{abcd}(v, 0) - r\dot{C}_{abcd}(v, 0) \\ &\quad + x^{e} C_{abcd|e}(v, 0) + O(r^2/\mathcal{R}^4), \qquad \text{(22c)} \end{aligned}$$

in which $x^a = [r\sin\theta\cos\psi, r\sin\theta\sin\psi, r\cos\theta]$ is a Cartesian system defined in the usual way from the spherical polar coordinates (r, θ, ψ), $\dot{C}_{a0b0} = C_{\alpha'\gamma'\beta'\delta';\epsilon'} e^{\alpha'}_{a} u^{\gamma'} e^{\beta'}_{b} u^{\delta'} u^{\epsilon'}$, and $C_{a0b0|e} = C_{\alpha'\gamma'\beta'\delta';\epsilon'} e^{\alpha'}_{a} u^{\gamma'} e^{\beta'}_{b} u^{\delta'} e^{\epsilon'}_{e}$, with the other projections defined in an analogous way.

The frame components of the Weyl tensor and its derivatives evaluated at $r = 0$ can be expressed in terms of the irreducible components provided by the tidal quadrupole moments $\mathcal{E}_{ab}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{ab}$ and tidal octupole moments $\mathcal{E}_{abc}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{abc}$, which are all symmetric-tracefree (STF) tensors in the Cartesian system x^a. The relevant relations are listed in Appendix D of [27], but we repeat them here for completeness:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{a0b0}(v, 0) &= \mathcal{E}_{ab}, &\text{(23a)} \\ C_{abc0}(v, 0) &= \epsilon_{abp}\mathcal{B}^{p}_{\ c}, &\text{(23b)} \\ C_{abcd}(v, 0) &= -\epsilon_{abp}\epsilon_{cdq}\mathcal{E}^{pq} &\text{(23c)} \\ C_{a0b0|e}(v, 0) &= \mathcal{E}_{ab|e}, &\text{(23d)} \\ C_{abc0|e}(v, 0) &= \epsilon_{abp}\mathcal{B}^{p}_{\ c|e}, &\text{(23e)} \\ C_{abcd|e}(v, 0) &= -\epsilon_{abp}\epsilon_{cdq}\mathcal{E}^{pq}_{\ \ \ |e} &\text{(23f)} \end{aligned}$$

with the covariant derivatives of the quadrupole tidal tensors

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{ab|c} &= \mathcal{E}_{abc} + \frac{1}{3}\big(\epsilon_{acp}\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{p}_{\ b} + \epsilon_{bcp}\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{p}_{\ a}\big), &\text{(24a)} \\ \mathcal{B}_{ab|c} &= \frac{4}{3}\mathcal{B}_{abc} - \frac{1}{3}\big(\epsilon_{acp}\dot{\mathcal{E}}^{p}_{\ b} + \epsilon_{bcp}\dot{\mathcal{E}}^{p}_{\ a}\big). &\text{(24b)} \end{aligned}$$

It is a straightforward task to insert the irreducible decompositions within Eq. (22) to obtain an expansion of the Weyl tensor through order r.

We can now compute the NP scalar

$$\psi_0 = -C_{\alpha\gamma\beta\delta} l^{\alpha} m^{\gamma} l^{\beta} m^{\delta}, \tag{25}$$

where l^α and m^α are members of the null tetrad involved in the decomposition of the Weyl tensor. In our computation the null tetrad at (v, r, θ, ψ) differs from the null tetrad at $(v, 0, \theta, \psi)$ by terms of order r^2, which can be ignored. Therefore, the null vectors are given by $l^0 = 1$, $l^a = n^a = x^a/r$, and

$$m^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\cos\theta\cos\psi - i\sin\psi, \cos\theta\sin\psi + i\cos\psi, -\sin\theta). \tag{26}$$

With this, we find that

$$\psi_0 = -C_{a0b0} m^a m^b + 2C_{abc0} n^a m^b m^c - C_{acbd} n^a m^c n^b m^d. \tag{27}$$

An explicit computation of ψ_0 through order r is facilitated by the introduction of the quantities

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{2,0} &= \mathcal{E}_{11} + \mathcal{E}_{22}, &\text{(28a)} \\ \alpha_{2,\pm1} &= \mathcal{E}_{13} \mp i\mathcal{E}_{23}, &\text{(28b)} \\ \alpha_{2,\pm2} &= \mathcal{E}_{11} - \mathcal{E}_{22} \mp 2i\mathcal{E}_{12}, &\text{(28c)} \\ \beta_{2,0} &= \mathcal{B}_{11} + \mathcal{B}_{22}, &\text{(28d)} \\ \beta_{2,\pm1} &= \mathcal{B}_{13} \mp i\mathcal{B}_{23}, &\text{(28e)} \\ \beta_{2,\pm2} &= \mathcal{B}_{11} - \mathcal{B}_{22} \mp 2i\mathcal{B}_{12} &\text{(28f)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{3,0} &= \mathcal{E}_{113} + \mathcal{E}_{223}, &\text{(29a)} \\ \alpha_{3,\pm1} &= \mathcal{E}_{111} + \mathcal{E}_{122} \mp i\big(\mathcal{E}_{112} + \mathcal{E}_{222}\big), &\text{(29b)} \\ \alpha_{3,\pm2} &= \mathcal{E}_{113} - \mathcal{E}_{223} \mp 2i\mathcal{E}_{123}, &\text{(29c)} \\ \alpha_{3,\pm3} &= \mathcal{E}_{111} - 3\mathcal{E}_{122} \mp i\big(3\mathcal{E}_{112} - \mathcal{E}_{222}\big), &\text{(29d)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{3}{4}\beta_{3,0} = \mathcal{B}_{113} + \mathcal{B}_{223}, \tag{29e}$$

$$\frac{3}{4}\beta_{3,\pm1} = \mathcal{B}_{111} + \mathcal{B}_{122} \mp i\big(\mathcal{B}_{112} + \mathcal{B}_{222}\big), \tag{29f}$$

$$\frac{3}{4}\beta_{3,\pm2} = \mathcal{B}_{113} - \mathcal{B}_{223} \mp 2i\mathcal{B}_{123}, \tag{29g}$$

$$\frac{3}{4}\beta_{3,\pm3} = \mathcal{B}_{111} - 3\mathcal{B}_{122} \mp i\big(3\mathcal{B}_{112} - \mathcal{B}_{222}\big), \tag{29h}$$

which act as substitutes for the linearly independent components of the tidal moments. This calculation is also aided by the introduction of the spin-weight $s = +2$ (scalar) spherical harmonics

$${}_2Y_2^0 = -\frac{3}{2}\sin^2\theta, \tag{30a}$$

$${}_2Y_2^{\pm1} = -\sin\theta(\cos\theta \mp 1)e^{\pm i\psi}, \tag{30b}$$

$${}_3Y_2^{\pm2} = \frac{1}{4}(\cos\theta \mp 1)^2 e^{\pm 2i\psi}, \tag{30c}$$

and

$${}_2Y_3^0 = -\frac{5}{2}\sin^2\theta\cos\theta, \tag{31a}$$

$${}_2Y_3^{\pm1} = \frac{5}{8}\sin\theta(3\cos\theta \pm 1)(\cos\theta \mp 1)e^{\pm i\psi}, \tag{31b}$$

$${}_2Y_3^{\pm2} = \frac{1}{4}(3\cos\theta \pm 2)(\cos\theta \mp 1)^2 e^{\pm 2i\psi}, \tag{31c}$$

$${}_2Y_3^{\pm3} = \frac{1}{8}\sin\theta(\cos\theta \mp 1)^2 e^{\pm 3i\psi}. \tag{31d}$$

These functions are mutually orthogonal, but for our convenience they are not normalized.

Putting all of this together, we find that the asymptotic form of the Weyl scalar is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_0 \sim \psi_0^{\rm asy} &= -\sum_m z_{2m}\,{}_2Y_2^m(\theta,\psi) - r\sum_m z_{3m}\,{}_2Y_3^m(\theta,\psi) \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}r\sum_m \dot{z}_{2m}\,{}_2Y_2^m(\theta,\psi) + O(r^2/\mathcal{R}^4)\,, \end{aligned}\tag{32}$$

where we have defined

$$z_{\ell m}(v) \equiv \alpha_{\ell m}(v) + i\beta_{\ell m}(v)\,, \tag{33}$$

and an overdot indicates differentiation with respect to v. The asymptotic NP scalar satisfies the Teukolsky equation in the asymptotic region, which we have verified explicitly.

An alternative way to derive $\psi_0^{\rm asy}$ goes as follows. One starts with the metric of a vacuum spacetime expressed in null coordinates (v, r, θ, ϕ) in a neighborhood of a geodesic world line situated at $r = 0$; this metric is presented in Sec. III B of Ref. [27]. One then computes the Weyl tensor for this metric, and projects it onto the tetrad described previously. The end result is again Eq. (32). We have carried out the calculation both ways and found agreement.

IV. THE NEWMAN-PENROSE SCALAR

In this section, we compute the full NP scalar ψ_0 associated with a perturbed spinning BH metric. It is defined by Eq. (4) and in what follows, we use its asymptotic form constructed in Sec III to determine the full scalar that solves the Teukolsky equation everywhere inside $r \ll \mathcal{R}$, including at $r = r_+$.

A. Teukolsky equation

It is convenient to work with the Fourier transform of ψ_0, which can be resolved in mode functions that separate the variables:

$$\tilde{\psi}_0 = \sum_{\ell m} \tilde{z}_{\ell m}(\omega) R_{\ell m}(r)\,{}_2S^{\ell m}(\theta) e^{im\psi}\,, \tag{34}$$

where $R_{\ell m}(r)$ are free functions of radius, ${}_2S^{\ell m}(\theta)$ are spin-weight $+2$ spheroidal harmonics and the overhead tilde stands for the Fourier transform. The angular functions reduce to spin-weight $s = +2$ (scalar) spherical harmonics in the limit as ψ_0 becomes time-independent (the zero-frequency limit). Notice that all advanced time derivatives of ψ_0 simply bring down factors of $-i\omega$ when working in terms of the Fourier transform.

This separation of variables allows us to decouple the Teukolsky equation in Kerr coordinates into an angular equation [22, 30]

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\sin\theta}\partial_\theta\left(\sin\theta\,\partial_\theta\,{}_2S^{\ell m}\right) + \bigg(-\frac{m^2}{\sin^2\theta} - 4a\omega\cos\theta \\ &- \frac{4m\cos\theta}{\sin^2\theta} - 4\cot^2\theta + E^{\ell m} - 4\bigg)\,{}_2S^{\ell m} = \mathcal{O}(\omega^2),\end{aligned}\tag{35}$$

and a radial equation [22, 30]

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta\,\partial_{rr}R^{\ell m} + 2\left[3(r-M) - iK\right]\partial_r R^{\ell m} \\ &+ \left[-\frac{8i(r-M)K}{\Delta} + 6i\omega r - \lambda\right] R^{\ell m} = \mathcal{O}(\omega^2)\,.\end{aligned}\tag{36}$$

Here, $E^{\ell m}$ is a separation constant, we have defined $K \equiv \left(r^2 + a^2\right)\omega - am$, $\lambda \equiv E^{\ell m} - 2am\omega - 6$, linearized in ω and we have set $s = +2$. These expressions correct a typo in Eq. (2.10) of [22]: the term explicitly proportional to ω inside the square brackets of Eq. (36) should really be $2(2s-1)i\omega r$, instead of $-2(2s+1)i\omega r$ in Eq. (2.10) of [22].

One can recast the radial equation in a more amenable form by transforming to

$$x \equiv \frac{r - r_+}{r_+ - r_-}\,. \tag{37}$$

Doing so, the radial equation becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
&x(x+1)\partial_{xx}R^{\ell m}+(6x+3+2i\gamma m)\,\partial_x R^{\ell m}+\left[4i\gamma m\frac{(2x+1)}{x(1+x)}-(\ell+3)(\ell-2)\right]R^{\ell m}\\
&-2i\omega(r_+-r_-)\Bigg\{\big[x(1+x)+(2\rho-1)(x+\rho)\big]\partial_x R^{\ell m}+\Bigg[\frac{2(2x+1)\big[x(1+x)+(2\rho-1)(x+\rho)\big]}{x(1+x)}\\
&-3(x+\rho)-im\gamma\frac{\ell(\ell+1)+4}{\ell(\ell+1)}\Bigg]R^{\ell m}\Bigg\}=\mathcal{O}(\omega^2)\,. \qquad (38)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have defined $\gamma \equiv a/(r_+ - r_-)$, $\rho \equiv r_+/(r_+ - r_-)$ and we have used the eigenvalue

$$
E^{\ell m}=\ell\,(\ell+1)-\frac{8am}{\ell(\ell+1)}\omega+\mathcal{O}(\omega^2)\,, \qquad (39)
$$

in the $\omega \ll 1$ limit for $s = +2$. Note that this equation reduces exactly to Eq. (9.25) in [17] when $\omega = 0$ and $\ell = 2$.

The rest of this section is devoted to finding the solutions to the angular and radial sector of the Teukolsky equation to linear order in ω.

B. Angular Sector

Let us first concentrate on the angular sector. Spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics satisfy the angular equation. In general, they must be solved for numerically, but in the limit of small ω one can represent them as a finite sum of spin-weighted spherical harmonics of the same weight [21]

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_2S^{\ell m}=\ &{}_2Y^{\ell m}(\theta,\psi)-4a\omega\sum_{\ell\neq\ell'}\sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{2\ell'+1}}\\
&\times\frac{\langle \ell 1 m 0|\ell' m\rangle\,\langle \ell 1 -2 0|\ell' -2\rangle}{\ell(\ell+1)-\ell'(\ell'+1)}\,{}_2Y^{\ell' m}(\theta,\psi)\,, \qquad (40)
\end{aligned}
$$

whose eigenvalues were given in Eq. (39). The quantity $\langle j_1 j_2 m_1 m_2 | JM\rangle$ are the usual Clebsch-Gordan coefficients.

In this paper, we are interested in the $\ell = 2$ and $\ell = 3$ modes only. With our choice of normalization for the spin-weighted spherical harmonics, the angular functions are given by

$$
{}_2S^{20}=\ {}_2Y^{20}-\frac{2}{5}a\omega\ {}_2Y^{30}\,, \qquad (41)
$$

$$
{}_2S^{2\pm1}=\left({}_2Y^{2\pm1}+\frac{16}{45}a\omega\ {}_2Y^{3\pm1}\right)e^{\mp i\psi}\,, \qquad (42)
$$

$$
{}_2S^{2\pm2}=\left({}_2Y^{2\pm2}-\frac{2}{9}a\omega\ {}_2Y^{3\pm2}\right)e^{\mp 2i\psi}\,, \qquad (43)
$$

$$
{}_2S^{30}=\ {}_2Y^{30} \qquad (44)
$$

$$
{}_2S^{3\pm1}=\ {}_2Y^{3\pm1}\ e^{\mp i\psi}\,, \qquad (45)
$$

$$
{}_2S^{3\pm2}=\ {}_2Y^{3\pm2}\ e^{\mp 2i\psi}\,, \qquad (46)
$$

$$
{}_2S^{3\pm3}=\ {}_2Y^{3\pm3}\ e^{\mp 3i\psi}\,. \qquad (47)
$$

The $\ell = 3$ modes do not require any $\mathcal{O}(\omega)$ corrections. These spheroidal harmonics satisfy the angular equation in Eq. (35) with the eigenvalues of Eq. (39) to order $\mathcal{O}(\omega^2)$. For future convenience, we will also write the spheroidal harmonics as

$$
{}_2S^{\ell m}=({}_2Y^{\ell m}+a\omega\, b^{\ell m}\ {}_2Y^{(\ell+1)m})e^{\mp im\psi}\,, \qquad (48)
$$

where

$$
\left(b^{20},b^{2\pm1},b^{2\pm2}\right)=\left(-\frac{2}{5},\frac{16}{45},-\frac{2}{9}\right),\quad b^{3m}=0\,. \qquad (49)
$$

C. Radial Sector

Let us now concentrate on the radial sector. Usually, when working to all orders in ω, Eq. (38) is solved numerically, after imposing certain boundary conditions at infinity and at the horizon. When working to linear order in ω, however, solutions can be found analytically in terms of hypergeometric functions [21, 31, 32]. When expressed in closed form, these solutions are rather complicated and require the imposition of certain recursion relations. For this reason, we will re-derive them here and present explicit solutions at $\mathcal{O}(\omega^0)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\omega)$ for the $\ell = 2$ and $\ell = 3$ modes separately.

1. *Expansion of the radial function*

Let us then decompose the radial functions as

$$
R^{\ell m}=R^{\ell m,0}+\omega R^{\ell m,1}+\mathcal{O}(\omega^2)\,, \qquad (50)
$$

where $R^{\ell m,0}$ and $R^{\ell m,1}$ are assumed to be ω-independent. With this decomposition, the radial equation to $\mathcal{O}(\omega^0)$ becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\{x(x+1)\partial_{xx}+[3\,(2x+1)+2i\gamma m]\,\partial_x\\
&+\frac{4}{x(1+x)}\big[i\gamma m\,(1+2x)\\
&-\frac{1}{4}(\ell+3)(\ell-2)x\,(x+1)\big]\Big\}\,R^{\ell m,0}=0\,. \qquad (51)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that this equation reduces exactly to Eq. (9.25) in [17] when $\ell = 2$. Similarly, the radial equation to linear order in ω is

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\{x(x+1)\partial_{xx} + \left[3\,(2x+1) + 2i\gamma m\right]\partial_x \\ &+ \frac{4}{x(1+x)}\left[i\gamma m\,(1+2x)\right. \\ &\left.\left. - \frac{1}{4}(\ell+3)(\ell-2)x\,(x+1)\right]\right\} R^{\ell m,1} = T^{\ell m}\,, \qquad (52)\end{aligned}$$

where the source $T^{\ell m}$ has nothing to do with a matter source, but rather it is constructed from the zeroth-order solution $R^{\ell m,0}$ and its first time derivative, namely

$$\begin{aligned}T^{\ell m} \equiv 2i(r_+ - r_-)&\Big\{\big[x(1+x) + (2\rho-1)(x+\rho)\big]\partial_x R^{\ell m,0} \\ &+ \left[\frac{2(2x+1)\big[x(1+x)+(2\rho-1)(x+\rho)\big]}{x(1+x)}\right. \\ &\left. - 3(x+\rho) - im\gamma\frac{\ell(\ell+1)+4}{\ell(\ell+1)}\right]R^{\ell m,0}\Big\}\,. \qquad (53)\end{aligned}$$

2. Boundary Conditions

Asymptotically, we know that ψ_0 should approach Eq. (32) as $r \gg r_+$. Let us then impose this condition to see what the boundary conditions on $R_{\ell m}$ should be at infinity. Fourier transforming Eq. (32) and setting this equal to Eq. (34) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_m \left[\tilde{z}_{2m}\;{}_2Y^{2m} + \frac{i\omega r}{3}\tilde{z}_{2m}\;{}_2Y^{2m} + \tilde{z}_{3m} r\;{}_2Y^{3m}\right] \sim \\ &\sum_m \left[\tilde{z}_{2m}R_{2m}\big({}_2Y^{2m} + b^{2m}a\omega\,{}_2Y^{3m}\big) + \tilde{z}_{3m}R_{3m}\,{}_2Y^{3m}\right]\,, \qquad (54)\end{aligned}$$

where we have replaced $\tilde{\dot{z}}_{2m} = -i\omega\tilde{z}_{2m}$ and we have rewritten the spheroidal harmonics as in Eq. (48).

Let us now look at different ℓ modes separately. Clearly, all terms proportional to ${}_2Y^{2m}$ must be set to zero independently from those proportional to ${}_2Y^{3m}$. Let us then decompose the radial function as in Eq. (50) with $\ell = 2$, so as to separate the term of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ from the term of $\mathcal{O}(\omega)$. For the $(\ell, m) = (2, m)$ mode to $\mathcal{O}(1)$, we have

$$R^{2m,0} \sim 1\,, \qquad (55)$$

while to $\mathcal{O}(\omega)$ we find

$$R^{2m,1} \sim \frac{i}{3}r\,. \qquad (56)$$

Similarly, for the $(\ell, m) = (3, m)$ mode we have

$$R^{3m,0} \sim r\,, \qquad (57)$$

to leading order in ω.

The radial function must also satisfy a regularity condition at the event horizon. Because $R^{\ell m}(r)$ is associated with a Weyl scalar ψ_0 constructed with the Kinnersley tetrad, which is singular on the event horizon, the radial function itself cannot be expected to be nonsingular at $r = r_+$. Instead, the relation of Eq. (7) implies that it is $\Delta^2 R^{\ell m}(r)$ that must be smooth at $r = r_+$. In terms of the new radial coordinate x, this means that $x^2 R^{\ell m}(x)$ must be smooth at $x = 0$.

3. Radial Solution: $m = 0$ Case

Let us first concentrate on the solution when $m = 0$, as this is easier than for general m. To leading order in ω, we find the solutions

$$\begin{aligned}R^{20,0} &= C_1^{20,0} + C_2^{20,0}\left[6\ln\left(\frac{x}{1+x}\right)\right. \\ &\left. + \frac{(2x+1)(6x^2+6x-1)}{2x^2(1+x)^2}\right] \qquad (58) \\ R^{30,0} &= C_1^{30,0}\,(2x+1) + C_2^{30,0}\left[60\,(2x+1)\ln\left(\frac{x}{1+x}\right)\right. \\ &\left. + \frac{240x^3+130x^2+120x^4+10x-1}{x^2(1+x)^2}\right]\,, \qquad (59)\end{aligned}$$

where $C_{1,2}^{20,0}$ and $C_{1,2}^{30,0}$ are constants of integration.

Before, we argued that the boundary conditions should be chosen such that in the asymptotic region $r \gg r_+$, ψ_0 behaves as in Eq. (32). In particular, this implies that the boundary conditions should be given by Eqs. (55) and (57). Asymptotically expanding the two solutions, about $x = \infty$, we find

$$R^{20,0} \sim C_1^{20,0} + \mathcal{O}(x^{-5})\,, \qquad (60)$$

$$R^{30,0} \sim 2C_1^{30,0}x + \mathcal{O}(1)\,. \qquad (61)$$

Imposing Eqs. (55) and (57),

$$C_1^{20,0} = 1\,, \qquad C_1^{30,0} = \frac{1}{2}(r_+ - r_-) = \frac{a}{2\gamma}\,. \qquad (62)$$

The selection of C_2^{20} and C_2^{30} is based on the requirement that $x^2 R^{\ell m}(x)$ must be smooth at $x = 0$. Expanding the solutions about $x = 0$, we find that

$$R^{20,0} \sim C_2^{20,0}\left[-\frac{1}{2x^2} + \frac{3}{x} + 6\ln x + \mathcal{O}(1)\right]\,, \qquad (63)$$

$$R^{30,0} \sim C_2^{30,0}\left[-\frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{12}{x} + 60\ln x + \mathcal{O}(1)\right]\,. \qquad (64)$$

In order to eliminate the logarithmin terms, we must choose $C_2^{20,0} = 0 = C_2^{30,0}$. To leading order in ω, the solutions then become

$$R^{20,0} = 1 \qquad R^{30,0} = \frac{a}{\gamma}\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)\,. \qquad (65)$$

Let us now move on to the radial solution to linear order in ω for $\ell = 2$. The $\mathcal{O}(\omega)$ piece of the $\ell = 3$ solution is not needed as it would contribute to $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{R}^{-4})$ in ψ_0. The $\ell = 2$ solution contains a homogeneous piece plus an inhomogeneous piece. The homogeneous piece is the same as that of Eq. (58). Including the inhomogeneous solution, we find

$$\begin{aligned} R^{20,1} &= C_1^{20,1} + C_2^{20,1}\left[6\ln\left(\frac{x}{1+x}\right) + \frac{(2x+1)(6x^2+6x-1)}{2x^2(1+x)^2}\right] \\ &+ \ln(x)\left(\frac{ia}{\gamma} + 4i\gamma a + 2iM\right) - \ln(x+1)\left(\frac{ia}{\gamma} + 4i\gamma a\right) \\ &+ \frac{ia}{3\gamma}x - iM(1+x)^{-1} - \frac{iM}{6}(1+x)^{-2}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

where $C_{1,2}^{20,1}$ are constants of integration.

Let us now impose the boundary conditions. Asymptotically expanding the $\ell = 2$ solution about $x = \infty$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} R^{20,1} &\sim \frac{ia}{3\gamma}x + 2iM\ln(x) + C_2^{20,1} + \mathcal{O}[\ln(x)] \\ &\sim \frac{i}{3}r - \frac{i}{3}r_+ + C_2^{20,1}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

The solution automatically satisfies the boundary conditions in Eq. (57). We are free to choose $C_2^{20,1}$ as we wish, and one possible choice is to eliminate the constant term as one asymptotically approaches infinity via

$$C_2^{20,1} = \frac{i}{3}r_+\,. \tag{68}$$

Asymptotically expanding the $\ell = 2$ solution about $x = 0$, we find

$$R^{20,1} \sim -\frac{C_1^{20,1}}{2x^2} + \frac{3C_1^{20,1}}{x} + \ln(x)\left(6C_1^{20,1} + 2iM + \frac{ia}{\gamma} + 4i\gamma a\right). \tag{69}$$

The above solution possesses two types of divergences at the horizon; a logarithmic and a polynomial one. The requirement that Ψ, defined in Eq. (7), be smooth on the horizon forces us to eliminate the logarithmic one by setting

$$C_1^{20,1} = -\frac{2i\gamma a}{3} - \frac{ia}{6\gamma} - \frac{iM}{3}\,. \tag{70}$$

4. *Radial Solution: $m \neq 0$ Case*

Let us now return to the general $m \neq 0$ case. The solutions at leading-order in ω, ie. to Eq. (51), are

$$R^{2m,0} = A_{2m}x^{-2}(1+x)^{-2}F(-4,1;-1+2im\gamma;-x)\,, \tag{71}$$

$$R^{3m,0} = A_{3m}x^{-2}(1+x)^{-2}F(-5,2;-1+2im\gamma;-x)\,, \tag{72}$$

where $F(a,b;c;z)$ is the hypergeometric function and we have defined

$$A_{2m} = -\frac{i}{6}m\gamma\left(1 + im\gamma\right)\left(1 + 4m^2\gamma^2\right)\,, \tag{73}$$

$$A_{3m} = -\frac{i}{180}ma\left(1 + im\gamma\right)\left(1 + 4m^2\gamma^2\right)\left(3 + 2im\gamma\right)\,. \tag{74}$$

These solutions have been normalized such that $R^{2m,0} \to 1$ and $R^{3m,0} \to r$ as $x \to \infty$ and such that they have a well-defined Taylor expansion about $x = 0$. Note that no natural logarithm terms appear in this solution, although clearly they both diverge as x^{-2} as $x \to 0$.

At linear order in ω we again focus on the $\ell = 2$ mode, as the $\ell = 3$ mode would contribute at $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{R}^{-4})$ to ψ_0. As before, the solution will contain a homogeneous piece plus an inhomogeneous one. The homogeneous piece will be identical to Eq. (71), and thus, it is redundant and should be discarded via the appropriate choice of integration constants.

One is then left with the inhomogeneous solution, which can be obtained with the method of variation of constants. To implement this we need both homogeneous solutions of the radial equation. The first is given by Eq. (71), while the second is

$$R_2^{2m,0}(x) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^{2i\gamma m}\,, \tag{75}$$

and the inhomogeneous solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R^{2m,1} &= -R_1^{2m,0}(x)\int\frac{R_2^{2m,0}(\xi)T^{2m}(\xi)}{\xi(\xi+1)W(\xi)}d\xi \\ &+ R_2^{2m,0}(x)\int\frac{R_1^{2m,0}(\xi)T^{2m}(\xi)}{\xi(\xi+1)W(\xi)}d\xi\,, \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

where W is the Wronskian associated with $R_1^{2m,0}$ and $R_2^{2m,0}$.

The homogeneous solution $R_2^{2m,0}$ deserves further discussion. When solving for the leading-order in ω radial function we did not present this solution because it fails to produce a $x^2R^{\ell m}(x)$ that is smooth at $x = 0$. We therefore set the integration constants such that this solution would not contribute. To linear order in ω, however, one needs to use both $R_1^{2m,0}$ and $R_2^{2m,0}$ to construct the inhomogeneous solution.

The first integrand in Eq. (76) is a polynomial in ξ and can be integrated immediately. The second term leads to a sum of hypergeometric functions, which can be manipulated with the identity

$$\begin{aligned} a(b-c)z\,F(a+1,b;c+1;z) &= c(c-1)\,F(a-1,b;c-1;z) \\ &- c\left[c - 1 + (a-b)z\right]F(a,b;c;z)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

which can be derived from the Gauss relations for hypergeometric functions. By repeated application of this identity, the second term can be expressed in a form that features ${}_2F_1[1,1;3+2im\gamma;-x]$ only. The inhomogeneous solution then becomes

$$R^{2m,1} = \frac{-M}{(i-\gamma m)} \frac{x^2 F(1,1;3+2i\gamma m;-x)}{(x+1)}$$

$$+ \frac{ia}{3\gamma} \frac{x^3}{(x+1)^2} + C_4\, r_+ \frac{x^2}{(x+1)^2} + C_3\, r_+ \frac{x}{(x+1)^2} + C_2\, r_+ \frac{1}{(x+1)^2} + C_1\, r_+ \frac{1}{x(x+1)^2}\,, \tag{78}$$

where we have defined

$$C_1 = \frac{(1+\kappa_m)(1+2\kappa_m)\kappa_m[10\kappa_m^3 - (5+18\rho)\kappa_m + 3\rho(8\rho-1)]}{9i\rho(1-2\kappa_m)}\,, \tag{79a}$$

$$C_2 = \frac{128\kappa_m^5 + (164-264\rho)\kappa_m^4 + (4-552\rho+576\rho^2)\kappa_m^3 - (41+294\rho-720\rho^2)\kappa_m^2 - (9-30\rho-72\rho^2)\kappa_m - 36\rho(2\rho-1)}{36i\rho(1-2\kappa_m)\kappa_m}\,, \tag{79b}$$

$$C_3 = \frac{16\kappa_m^5 + (20-24\rho)\kappa_m^4 - 24\rho(3-4\rho)\kappa_m^3 - (5+42\rho-96\rho^2)\kappa_m^2 - (1-10\rho+8\rho^2)\kappa_m - 4\rho(2\rho-1)}{2i\rho(1+2\kappa_m)(1-2\kappa_m)\kappa_m}\,, \tag{79c}$$

$$C_4 = \frac{32\kappa_m^5 + 32\kappa_m^4 + (4-120\rho+192\rho^2)\kappa_m^3 - (8+72\rho-144\rho^2)\kappa_m^2 - 3(1-2\rho)(1-4\rho)\kappa_m - 6\rho(2\rho-1)}{6i\rho(1+\kappa_m)(1+2\kappa_m)(1-2\kappa_m)\kappa_m}\,, \tag{79d}$$

in which $\kappa_m = im\gamma$ and we have set the coefficients multiplying the homogeneous solutions to zero. Since the hypergeometric function behaves as $\ln(x)/x$ when $x \gg 1$, in the limit $x \to \infty$ the above solution behaves as $R^{2m,1} \to ir/3$, and satisfies the boundary condition in Eq.(57) automatically,.

D. Summary of Results

The Fourier transform of the full NP scalar can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}_0 = &- \sum_m \tilde{z}_{2m} R_{2m,0}\, {}_2Y^{2m} \\ &- \omega \sum_m \tilde{z}_{2m} \left(R_{2m,1}\, {}_2Y^{2m} + a\, b^{2m} R_{2m,0}\, {}_2Y^{3m} \right) \\ &- \sum_m \tilde{z}_{3m} R_{3m,0}\, {}_2Y^{3m}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

where the radial functions are given by Eqs. (71), (72), (78) and the b^{2m} coefficients are given by Eq. (49), with the (un-normalized) spin-weighted spherical harmonics of Eqs. (30)-(31).

Note that although the full asymptotic behavior in the asymptotic region $\mathcal{R} \gg r \gg r_+$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}_0 \sim &- \sum_m \tilde{z}_{2m}\, {}_2Y^{2m} \\ &- \omega \sum_m \tilde{z}_{2m} \left(\frac{ir}{3}\, {}_2Y^{2m} + a\, b^{2m}\, {}_2Y^{3m} \right) \\ &- \sum_m \tilde{z}_{3m} r\, {}_2Y^{3m}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

the third term is subdominant relative to the fourth term. Therefore, the asymptotic behavior of Eq. (80) is identical to Eq. (54).

V. THE TEUKOLSKY POTENTIAL AND THE FLUXES

In this section, we compute the Teukolsky potential as defined by Eq. (7). In terms of the quantity x, defined in Eq. (37), the Fourier-transformed potential $\tilde{\Psi}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\Psi}(\omega, \theta^A) = - \frac{x^2(1+x)^2}{4[(x+\rho)^2+\gamma^2]^2} \tilde{\psi}_0(\mathrm{K}) \Big|_{\mathrm{x}=0}\,. \tag{82}$$

Evaluating the right hand side at $x=0$ and making the decomposition

$$\Psi(v, r_+, \theta, \psi) = \sum_m \Psi^m(v,\theta) e^{im\psi}\,, \tag{83}$$

we find for the $m \neq 0$ case,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Psi}^m(\omega,\theta) = &- \frac{m\gamma r_+^2 (i-\gamma m)(1+4\gamma^2 m^2)}{2880\rho^4 M^2} [30 \tilde{z}_{2m}\, {}_2Y^{2m}(\theta,0) \\ &+ \frac{r_+}{\rho}(3+2i\gamma m)\tilde{z}_{3m}\, {}_2Y^{3m}(\theta,0)] \\ &- \omega \frac{m\gamma^2 r_+^3 (i-\gamma m)(1+4\gamma^2 m^2) \tilde{z}_{2m} b^{2m}\, {}_2Y^{3m}(\theta,0)}{96\rho^5 M^2}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

and for the $m=0$ case,

$$\tilde{\Psi}^0(\omega,\theta) = \omega \frac{i \tilde{z}_{20}\, {}_2Y^{20}(\theta,0)(4r_+\gamma^2 + 2\rho M + r_+) r_+^2}{192 M^2 \rho^5}\,. \tag{85}$$

In order to return to the time-domain and express Ψ as a function of v, we must compute the inverse Fourier transform of the above equation. One can see that $\Psi^m(v,\theta)$ is given by the right-hand side of Eqs. (84) and (85), with the replacement $\tilde{z}_{2m} \to i\dot{z}_{2m}/\omega$.

The integrals in Eqs. (14) and (15) can be evaluated perturbatively to the appropriate order. Assuming that Ψ^m varies slowly on a time scale $\tau = (\dot{\Psi}^m/\Psi^m)^{-1} \sim \mathcal{R}$ (since the time dependence of Ψ comes from external universe perturbations) we can write for the $m \neq 0$ case,

$$\Phi^m_+ = \frac{e^{im\Omega_H}\Psi^m}{\kappa - im\Omega_H}\left[1 + \frac{1}{(\kappa - im\Omega_H)\tau} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(\kappa - im\Omega_H)^2\tau^2}\right)\right], \tag{86}$$

$$\Phi^m_- = \frac{e^{im\Omega_H}\Psi^m}{im\Omega_H}\left[1 + \frac{i}{(m\Omega_H)\tau} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(m\Omega_H)^2\tau^2}\right)\right]. \tag{87}$$

When $m = 0$, Eq. (87) clearly cannot be used, but as we can see from Eqs. (12) and (13), we will only need Φ^0_+ in this case, and for this we can use Eq. (86). Therefore, for our purposes, the above equations are valid for all m.

Let us discuss the expressions for $\Phi^m_\pm$ further. The function outside the square brackets has terms of $\mathcal{O}(M/\mathcal{R}^2)$ (proportional to z_{2m}) and $\mathcal{O}(M^2/\mathcal{R}^3)$ (proportional to z_{3m} and $\dot{z}_{2m}$), while the second term in the square brackets is of $\mathcal{O}(M/\mathcal{R})$. Therefore, combining this with the leading-order terms that scale as $\mathcal{O}(M/\mathcal{R}^2)$, one finds terms of $\mathcal{O}(M^2/\mathcal{R}^3)$. The next-order terms scale as $\mathcal{O}(M^2/\mathcal{R}^2)$ and the lowest-order corrections that these induce will be of $\mathcal{O}(M^3/\mathcal{R}^4)$, which can thus be neglected.

Substituting the expression for Ψ^m in Eqs. (86) and (87), we find

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^m_+ = {} & \frac{e^{im\Omega_H} m\gamma r_+^2(i-\gamma m)(1+4\gamma^2 m^2)}{96M^2(-\kappa + im\Omega_H)^2\rho^4} \\ & \times \left[(im\Omega_H - \kappa)z_{2m} - \dot{z}_{2m}\right] {}_2Y^{2m}, \end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^m_- = {} & \frac{ie^{im\Omega_H}\gamma r_+^2(i-\gamma m)(1+4\gamma^2 m^2)}{96M^2 m\Omega_H^2\rho^4} \\ & \times \left[m\Omega_H z_{2m} + i\dot{z}_{2m}\right] {}_2Y^{2m}, \end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

and

$$\Phi^0_+ = \frac{e^{im\Omega_H}(4r_+\gamma^2 + 2\rho M + r_+)r_+^2}{192M^2(-\kappa + im\Omega_H)\rho^5}\dot{z}_{20}\,{}_2Y^{20}, \tag{90}$$

plus terms that contribute at $\mathcal{O}(M^2/\mathcal{R}^4)$.

The canceling of subleading terms in the above equations must be done carefully and keeping in mind that $\Phi^m_\pm$ in the end has to be squared and averaged over. Initially, $\Phi^m_\pm$ has terms that go as

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^m_\pm \sim {} & z_{2m}\,{}_2Y^{2m} + (z_{3m}\,{}_2Y^{3m} + \dot{z}_{2m}\,{}_2Y^{3m}) \\ & + \dot{z}_{2m}\,{}_2Y^{2m} + (\dot{z}_{3m}\,{}_2Y^{3m} + \ddot{z}_{2m}\,{}_2Y^{3m}) \\ & + \ddot{z}_{2m}\,{}_2Y^{2m} + \mathcal{O}\left(M^3/\mathcal{R}^5\right). \end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

The terms in the first line come from Ψ^m, the ones in the second line come from $\dot{\Psi}^m$ and those in the third line come from $\ddot{\Psi}^m$. When squaring the above quantity, the two lowest-order terms will come from the squaring of the $z_{2m}\,{}_2Y^{2m}$ term and the cross term between $z_{2m}\,{}_2Y^{2m}$ and $\dot{z}_{2m}\,{}_2Y^{2m}$. They will be of $\mathcal{O}(M^2/\mathcal{R}^4)$ and $\mathcal{O}(M^3/\mathcal{R}^5)$ respectively. All other terms can be neglected to the order of approximation considered here.

Substituting Eqs. (88)-(90) into Eqs. (12)-(13), expressing κ and Ω_H in terms of M and a, and using the dimensionless parameter χ, we find

$$\langle \dot{M} \rangle = \frac{2\chi M^5}{45}\sum_{m\neq 0}\frac{\langle \Im(\bar{z}_{2m}\dot{z}_{2m})\rangle(\sigma^2 + m^2\chi^2)^2}{m}, \tag{92}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \dot{J} \rangle = {} & -\frac{4\chi M^5}{45}\sum_{m\neq 0}(\sigma^2 + m^2\chi^2)^2\langle |z_{2m}|^2\rangle \\ & + \frac{8M^6(\sigma+1)}{45}\sum_{m\neq 0}(\sigma^2 + m^2\chi^2)\left\{\frac{(\sigma^2 + 3m^2\chi^2)}{m}\right. \\ & \left. \times \langle \Im(\bar{z}_{2m}\dot{z}_{2m})\rangle - 2\chi\sigma\langle \Re(\bar{z}_{2m}\dot{z}_{2m})\rangle\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

where $\sigma = \sqrt{1-\chi^2}$. Notice that this agrees exactly with Eq. (9.32) in [17]. Finally, using Eq. (9) or the first law of BH mechanics, we can calculate the change of the horizon area

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \dot{A} \rangle = {} & \frac{32\pi\chi^2 M^5}{45}\sum_{m\neq 0}\frac{(\sigma^2 + m^2\chi^2)^2\langle |z_{2m}|^2\rangle}{\sqrt{1-\chi^2}} \\ & - \frac{128\pi\chi^2 M^6(\sigma+1)}{45}\sum_{m\neq 0}(\sigma^2 + m^2\chi^2)\left[\frac{1}{\sigma}\langle \Im(\bar{z}_{2m}\dot{z}_{2m})\rangle\right. \\ & \left. - \langle \Re(\bar{z}_{2m}\dot{z}_{2m})\rangle\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{94}$$

Some comments about the above results are here in order. First, one might notice that the above results do not depend on the $\ell = 3$ part of Ψ^m. This is because we have here squared Φ to obtain the fluxes. Any $\ell = 3$ terms in Ψ^m result in quantities that scale as $\mathcal{O}(M^7/\mathcal{R}^6)$ in $\langle \dot{J} \rangle$ and as $\mathcal{O}(M^6/\mathcal{R}^6)$ in $\langle \dot{M} \rangle$, and they are beyond the order considered here. Second, one might also notice that the above results do not depend on the second term of Eq. (80). This term is of $\mathcal{O}(M/\mathcal{R}^3)$ and it is proportional to ${}_2Y^{2m}$. In principle, after the squaring it could couple to the leading-order term in Eq. (80) and give another $\mathcal{O}(M^5/\mathcal{R}^5)$ contribution. However, this term is proportional to $R_{2m,1}$ and as $x \to 0$ it behaves as $1/x$. From the above asymptotic behavior, it is obvious that this term has no contribution to $\Psi \sim x^2\psi_0$ as $x \to 0$.

We can rewrite these expressions using Eqs. (28), (29), (33) and the invariants

$$E_1 = \mathcal{E}_{ab}\mathcal{E}^{ab}, \qquad B_1 = \mathcal{B}_{ab}\mathcal{B}^{ab}, \tag{95}$$

$$E_2 = \mathcal{E}_{ab}s^b\mathcal{E}^a_c s^c, \qquad B_2 = \mathcal{B}_{ab}s^b\mathcal{B}^a_c s^c, \tag{96}$$

$$E_3 = (\mathcal{E}_{ab}s^a s^b)^2, \qquad B_3 = (\mathcal{B}_{ab}s^a s^b)^2, \tag{97}$$

$$E_4 = \epsilon_{pqc}\mathcal{E}^{pa}\dot{\mathcal{E}}^q_a s^c\,, \qquad B_4 = \epsilon_{pqc}\mathcal{B}^{pa}\dot{\mathcal{B}}^q_a s^c\,, \tag{98}$$

$$E_5 = \epsilon_{pqc}\mathcal{E}^p_a\dot{\mathcal{E}}^q_b s^a s^b s^c\,, \quad B_5 = \epsilon_{pqc}\mathcal{B}^p_a\dot{\mathcal{B}}^q_b s^a s^b s^c\,, \tag{99}$$

where $s^a = (0,0,1)$ is the direction of the BH spin. Doing so we find

$$\langle\dot{M}\rangle = \frac{2M^5\chi}{45}\left[-4\left(3\chi^2+1\right)\langle E_4+B_4\rangle + 15\chi^2\langle E_5+B_5\rangle\right]\,, \tag{100}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\dot{J}\rangle = {}& -\frac{2M^5\chi}{45}[8(1+3\chi^2)\langle E_1+B_1\rangle - 3(4+17\chi^2)\langle E_2+B_2\rangle + 15\chi^2\langle E_3+B_3\rangle] + \frac{4M^6(\sigma+1)}{45}\\ &\times\Big\{-8\sigma\chi\langle\dot{E}_1+\dot{B}_1\rangle + 3\sigma\chi(\chi^2+4)\langle\dot{E}_2+\dot{B}_2\rangle - 3\sigma\chi^3\langle\dot{E}_3+\dot{B}_3\rangle - 4(1+11\chi^2)\langle E_4+B_4\rangle + 3\chi^2(13+2\chi^2)\langle E_5+B_5\rangle\Big\}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\dot{A}\rangle = {}& \frac{16\pi M^5\chi^2}{45\sigma}[8(1+3\chi^2)\langle E_1+B_1\rangle - 3(4+17\chi^2)\langle E_2+B_2\rangle + 15\chi^2\langle E_3+B_3\rangle] + \frac{32\pi M^6(\sigma+1)\chi}{45}\\ &\times\left\{8\chi\langle\dot{E}_1+\dot{B}_1\rangle - 3\chi(\chi^2+4)\langle\dot{E}_2+\dot{B}_2\rangle + 3\chi^3\langle\dot{E}_3+\dot{B}_3\rangle + \frac{1}{\sigma}\left[32\chi^2\langle E_4+B_4\rangle - 6\chi^2(4+\chi^2)\langle E_5+B_5\rangle\right]\right\}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

VI. SLOW ROTATION

Equation (19) clearly implies that the $\chi = 0$ limit is inaccessible to our previous analysis. This means that Eqs. (100) and (101) in the $\chi = 0$ limit will not reduce to Eqs. (8.38) and (8.39) in [17]. This is more clear if one considers the assumptions involved in the evaluation of Eqs. (14) and (15). As discussed in Sec. V, we can approximate those integrals with Eqs. (86) and (87) by assuming that the exponentials vary rapidly compared to Ψ^m, which is justified if $M/\mathcal{R} \ll \chi$. Then, each integration by parts brings out a term that is $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{R}^{-1})$ smaller than the previous one. This method, however, breaks down when the exponentials vary slowly compared to Ψ^m, as in the $\Omega_H \sim \chi \to 0$ limit, which forces Eq. (87) to diverge.

The above discussion suggests that the small χ limit requires a special treatment. In this limit, the timescale associated with $\Omega_{\rm H} \simeq \chi/(4M)$ is comparable to $\mathcal{R}$: $\chi = \mathcal{O}(M/\mathcal{R})$. The horizon fluxes can be computed by expanding the Teukolsky equation in powers of χ and treating $M\omega = \mathcal{O}(M/\mathcal{R})$ as a quantity of first-order in χ. In this section, we calculate specifically the rate of change of the BH's surface area, which can be given by a simple expression; expressions for $\langle\dot{M}\rangle$ and $\langle\dot{J}\rangle$ are more complicated, and must be written in terms of integrals of the tidal tensors.

We follow the general methods of Sec. IV, but use χ instead of ω as an expansion parameter. Because $a\omega = O(\chi^2)$, it follows immediately from Eq. (48) that

$${}_2S^{\ell m}(\theta) = {}_2Y^{\ell m}(\theta,0) + \mathcal{O}(\chi^2)\,, \tag{103}$$

with angular eigenvalues given by $E = \ell(\ell+1) + \mathcal{O}(\chi^2)$. The Teukolsky equation then reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}&(r^2-2Mr)\frac{d^2R}{dr^2} + 6(r-M)\frac{dR}{dr} - i\chi 2M\left(\frac{\lambda r^2}{4M^2}-m\right)\frac{dR}{dr}\\ &- i\chi\left[8M\frac{r-M}{r^2-2Mr}\left(\frac{\lambda r^2}{4M^2}-m\right) - \frac{3}{2}\frac{\lambda r}{M}\right]R = O(\chi^2)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{104}$$

in which λ is defined by $\omega = \frac{\lambda\chi}{4M}$. Re-introducing $x = (r-r_+)/(r_+-r_-) = r/(2M) - 1 + O(\chi^2)$ and following the same procedure as in Sec. IV and V, we find

$$\Psi^m = -\frac{1}{12}M\left(im\Omega_{\rm H}z_{2m} + \dot{z}_{2m}\right){}_2Y^m_2(\theta,0) + O(\chi^2)\,, \tag{105}$$

in the time domain.

The calculation of $\langle\dot{A}\rangle$ requires that we first obtain $\Phi^m_+(v,\theta)$ via Eq. (14). We evaluate the integral by taking advantage of the fact that both $e^{im\Omega_{\rm H}v'}$ and $\Psi^m(v',\theta)$ vary over a time scale $\mathcal{R}$ that is much longer than $\kappa^{-1} \sim 4M$. Integration by parts returns

$$\Phi^m_+ = \frac{1}{\kappa}e^{im\Omega_{\rm H}v}\Psi^m\,, \tag{106}$$

up to terms that are smaller by a factor of $\mathcal{O}(M/\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{O}(\chi)$. Inserting this within Eq. (9), discarding corrections of order χ^2 and defining the new invariants

$$E_6 = \dot{\mathcal{E}}_{ab}\dot{\mathcal{E}}^{ab}\,, \qquad B_6 = \dot{\mathcal{B}}_{ab}\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{ab}\,, \tag{107}$$

gives

$$\frac{\kappa}{8\pi}\langle\dot{A}\rangle = \frac{16}{45}M^6\Big[4\Omega^2_{\rm H}\langle E_1+B_1\rangle - 6\Omega^2_{\rm H}\langle E_2+B_2\rangle$$

$$-4\Omega_{\rm H}\langle E_4+B_4\rangle+\langle E_6+B_6\rangle\Big]\,. \tag{108}$$

In the limit $\chi \to 0$, this becomes

$$\frac{\kappa}{8\pi}\langle\dot{A}\rangle=\frac{16}{45}M^6\langle E_6+B_6\rangle\,, \tag{109}$$

which agrees with the Schwarzschild expression in [17]. When $\Omega_{\rm H}\simeq\chi/(4M)$ is large compared with $\mathcal{R}^{-1}$, we obtain instead

$$\frac{\kappa}{8\pi}\langle\dot{A}\rangle\simeq\frac{32}{45}M^6\Omega_{\rm H}^2\Big[2\langle E_1+B_1\rangle-3\langle E_2+B_2\rangle\Big]\,, \tag{110}$$

which agrees with Eq. (102) in the regime $\chi \ll 1$.

When the tidal field is in a state of rigid rotation with angular frequency Ω, the Weyl scalar ψ_0 depends on ψ and v through the combination $\psi-\Omega v$ only. This implies that the tidal moments $\mathcal{E}_{ab}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{ab}$ satisfy the relations

$$z_{2m}(v)=z^\sharp_{2m}e^{-im\Omega v}\,, \tag{111}$$

in which $z^\sharp_{2m}$ are constant amplitudes. It is then easy to verify that with tidal moments of this form, the invariants satisfy the identities

$$E_4=\Omega(2E_1-3E_2)\,, \tag{112a}$$

$$E_6=2\Omega^2(2E_1-3E_2)\,. \tag{112b}$$

Similar identities are satisfied by the magnetic tidal tensor invariants. With these, it follows that Eq. (108) reduces to

$$\frac{\kappa}{8\pi}\langle\dot{A}\rangle=\frac{32}{45}M^6\big(\Omega-\Omega_{\rm H}\big)^2\Big[2\langle E_1+B_1\rangle-3\langle E_2+B_2\rangle\Big]\,, \tag{113}$$

when the tidal fields are rigidly rotating.

For rigid rotation, $\langle\dot{A}\rangle$ gives rise to separate expressions for $\langle\dot{M}\rangle$ and $\langle\dot{J}\rangle$. We rely on the first law of BH mechanics, $(\kappa/8\pi)\langle\dot{A}\rangle=\langle\dot{M}\rangle-\Omega_{\rm H}\langle\dot{J}\rangle$, and the rigid-rotation relation $\langle\dot{M}\rangle=\Omega\langle\dot{J}\rangle$, to obtain

$$\langle\dot{M}\rangle=\frac{32}{45}M^6\Omega\big(\Omega-\Omega_{\rm H}\big)\Big[2\langle E_1+B_1\rangle-3\langle E_2+B_2\rangle\Big]\,, \tag{114}$$

and

$$\langle\dot{J}\rangle=\frac{32}{45}M^6\big(\Omega-\Omega_{\rm H}\big)\Big[2\langle E_1+B_1\rangle-3\langle E_2+B_2\rangle\Big]\,. \tag{115}$$

These expressions agree with the results in Sec. IX F of [17] in the regime $\chi \ll 1$. According to our conventions, the sign of Ω is measured relative to the BH rotation. Assuming that the tidal invariant within square brackets is positive, we have that the BH gains mass when $\Omega > 0$ and $\Omega > \Omega_{\rm H}$; otherwise the BH loses mass (the tidal dynamics is superradiant). We also have that the BH gains angular momentum when $\Omega > \Omega_{\rm H}$, and loses angular momentum when $\Omega < \Omega_{\rm H}$. In all circumstances, the tidal dynamics produce an increase of surface area.

VII. APPLICATIONS: BH IN A CIRCULAR BINARY SYSTEM

In this section, we apply the main results of Sec. V to the case where the perturbed BH is in a circular binary with another BH with mass $M_{\rm ext}$. We first consider the case where the orbital velocity is small (slow-motion approximation). We then tackle the case where the perturbed BH is small (small-hole approximation).

A. Slow-Motion approximation

Let us first assume a slow-motion approximation, where $V \ll 1$. If the BH is in a circular orbit with radius b, the orbital angular velocity and the relative orbital velocity are given by [25]

$$\Omega=\epsilon\sqrt{\frac{M_T}{b^3}}\left[1-\frac{1}{2}(3+\eta)V^2\right]\,, \tag{116}$$

$$V=\sqrt{\frac{M_T}{b}}\,, \tag{117}$$

respectively, where $\epsilon\equiv\hat{\mathbf{L}}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{s}}=\pm1$, $\eta=MM_{\rm ext}/M_T^2$ is the symmetric mass ratio and $M_T=M+M_{\rm ext}$ the total mass. Then, the non-vanishing frame components of the tidal tensors are given by [25]

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{E}_{11}+\mathcal{E}_{22})=-\frac{M_{\rm ext}}{2b^3}\left[1+\frac{M}{2M_T}V^2+\mathcal{O}(V^4)\right]\,, \tag{118}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{E}_{11}-\mathcal{E}_{22})=-\frac{3M_{\rm ext}}{2b^3}\left[1-\frac{M_{\rm ext}+3M_T}{2M_T}V^2+\mathcal{O}(V^4)\right]\cos2\Omega t, \tag{119}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{12}=-\frac{3M_{\rm ext}}{2b^3}\left[1-\frac{M_{\rm ext}+3M_T}{2M_T}V^2+\mathcal{O}(V^4)\right]\sin2\Omega t\,, \tag{120}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{13}=-\frac{3M_{\rm ext}}{b^3}V\cos\Omega t+\mathcal{O}(V^3)\,, \tag{121}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{23}=-\frac{3M_{\rm ext}}{b^3}V\sin\Omega t+\mathcal{O}(V^3)\,, \tag{122}$$

to the appropriate order.

Substituting Eqs. (118)-(122) into Eqs. (100) and (101) we find

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\dot{M}\rangle=&-\frac{8}{5}\epsilon\,\eta^2\left(\frac{M}{M_T}\right)^3\chi(1+3\chi^2)V^{15}\\&+\frac{6}{5}\epsilon\,\eta^2\left(\frac{M}{M_T}\right)^3\chi V^{17}\\&\times\left[(23\chi^2+6)-(1+3\chi^2)\frac{M}{M_T}-(1+3\chi^2)\left(\frac{M}{M_T}\right)^2\right]\\&-\frac{16}{5}\eta^2\left(\frac{M}{M_T}\right)^4(\sigma+1)(1+11\chi^2)V^{18}+\mathcal{O}(V^{19})\,,\end{aligned} \tag{123}$$

and

$$\langle \dot{J} \rangle = -\frac{8}{5}\eta^2 \left(\frac{M}{M_T}\right)^3 M_T\, \chi(1+3\chi^2)V^{12} + \frac{2}{5}\eta^2 \left(\frac{M}{M_T}\right)^3 M_T \left[(12+51\chi^2)-(4+12\chi^2)\frac{M}{M_T}\right] \chi V^{14} - \frac{16}{5}\epsilon\,\eta^2 \left(\frac{M}{M_T}\right)^4 M_T(\sigma+1)(1+11\chi^2)V^{15} + \mathcal{O}(V^{16})\,. \tag{124}$$

The third term in Eq. (123) is of higher order that we are allowed to keep here. For circular orbits, however, $\langle \dot{M} \rangle = \Omega\langle \dot{J} \rangle$, and, thus, we can obtain this term from the third term in Eq. (124). The results agree with [17] to $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{R}^{-2})$. Using the first law of BH mechanics, we find the change in the horizon area

$$\langle \dot{A} \rangle = \frac{64\pi}{5}\eta^2 \left(\frac{M}{M_T}\right)^3 M_T\, \frac{\chi^2(1+3\chi^2)}{\sigma}V^{12} - \frac{16\pi}{5}\eta^2 \left(\frac{M}{M_T}\right)^3 M_T \left[(12+51\chi^2)-(4+12\chi^2)\frac{M}{M_T}\right] \frac{\chi^2}{\sigma}V^{14} + \frac{1024\pi}{5}\epsilon\,\eta^2 \left(\frac{M}{M_T}\right)^4 M_T\frac{(\sigma+1)\chi^3}{\sigma}V^{15} + \mathcal{O}(V^{16})\,. \tag{125}$$

We can repeat the same calculation in the slow-rotation limit, as derived in Sec. VI. We find

$$\langle \dot{M} \rangle = \frac{32}{5}\epsilon\,\eta^2 \left(\frac{M}{M_T}\right)^4 M_T(\Omega-\Omega_H)V^{15}\,, \tag{126}$$

$$\langle \dot{J} \rangle = \frac{32}{5}\epsilon\,\eta^2 \left(\frac{M}{M_T}\right)^4 M_T\frac{(\Omega-\Omega_H)}{\Omega}V^{15}\,, \tag{127}$$

and

$$\langle \dot{A} \rangle = \frac{1024\pi}{5}\epsilon\,\eta^2 \left(\frac{M}{M_T}\right)^5 M_T^2\frac{(\Omega-\Omega_H)^2}{\Omega}V^{15}\,, \tag{128}$$

where $\Omega_H = \chi/4M$ and Ω is given by Eq. (116). The above equations are valid only up to the order allowed by our calculations, given by Eqs. (123)-(125). Notice that in the slow-rotation limit, the tidal fluxes are smaller by a factor of $\mathcal{O}(V^3)$ than when the background BH is rotating fast.

B. Small-Hole approximation

If the BH is in a strong external gravitational field, we must let V be unrestricted, and, instead, assume that $M \ll M_{\rm ext}$. Assuming the external spacetime is that produced by a Kerr BH, and the perturbed background Kerr BH moves on a circular orbit of radius b in the equatorial plane, the angular and orbital velocities are given by

$$\Omega = \frac{\mathrm{sgn}(\Xi)}{M_{\rm ext}}\frac{V^3}{1+\Xi V^3} \quad \text{and } V = \sqrt{\frac{M_{\rm ext}}{b}}\,. \tag{129}$$

respectively. In the above equations, Ξ is the dimensionless spin parameter of the external BH, with its spin and mass given by $J_{ext} = \Xi M_{\rm ext}^2$.

Since now the external gravitational field is strong, we have to calculate the tidal tensors directly from Eqs. (23) and (24). The non vanishing components of $\mathcal{E}_{ab}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{ab}$ have been calculated in [26] and they are given by

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{E}_{11}+\mathcal{E}_{22}) = -\frac{V^6}{2M_{\rm ext}^2}\frac{1-4\Xi V^3+3\Xi^2V^4}{1-3V^2+2\Xi V^3}\,, \tag{130}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{E}_{11}-\mathcal{E}_{22}) = -\frac{3V^6}{2M_{\rm ext}^2}\frac{1-2V^2+\Xi^2V^4}{1-3V^2+2\Xi V^3}\cos 2\Phi\,, \tag{131}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{12} = -\frac{3V^6}{2M_{\rm ext}^2}\frac{1-2V^2+\Xi^2V^4}{1-3V^2+2\Xi V^3}\sin 2\Phi\,, \tag{132}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{13} = -\frac{3\mathrm{sgn}(\Xi)\mathrm{V}^7}{M_{\rm ext}^2}\frac{(1-\Xi V)\sqrt{1-2V^2+\Xi^2V^4}}{1-3V^2+2\Xi V^3}\sin\Phi\,, \tag{133}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{23} = -\frac{3\mathrm{sgn}(\Xi)\mathrm{V}^7}{M_{\rm ext}^2}\frac{(1-\Xi V)\sqrt{1-2V^2+\Xi^2V^4}}{1-3V^2+2\Xi V^3}\cos\Phi\,, \tag{134}$$

where

$$\Phi \equiv \Omega_f\tau = \frac{\mathrm{sgn}(\Xi)V^3}{M_{\rm ext}}\,\tau\,. \tag{135}$$

Substituting them in Eqs. (100) and (101) we find

$$\langle \dot{M} \rangle = -\frac{2}{5}\mathrm{sgn}(\Xi)\left(\frac{M}{M_{\rm ext}}\right)^5 \chi V^{15}\Gamma_1 + \frac{4}{5}(\sigma+1)\left(\frac{M}{M_{\rm ext}}\right)^6 V^{18}\Gamma_2\,, \tag{136}$$

and

$$\langle \dot{J} \rangle = -\frac{2}{5}\left(\frac{M}{M_{\rm ext}}\right)^5 M_{\rm ext}\chi V^{12}\Gamma_1 + \frac{4}{5}\mathrm{sgn}(\Xi)(\sigma+1)\left(\frac{M}{M_{\rm ext}}\right)^6 M_{\rm ext}V^{15}\Gamma_2\,, \tag{137}$$

where

$$\Gamma_1 = \frac{1-2V^2+\Xi^2V^4}{(1-3V^2+2\Xi V^3)^2}\left[\left(8+9\chi^2\right)\Xi^2\,V^4 + \left(6\chi^2-8\right)\Xi\,V^3 - \left(4+27\chi^2\right)V^2 + 4 + 12\chi^2\right]\,, \tag{138}$$

$$\Gamma_2 = \frac{1-2V^2+\Xi^2V^4}{(1-3V^2+2\Xi V^3)^2}\left[\left(6\chi^4-49\chi^2-8\right)\Xi^2\,V^4\right.$$

$$-\big(12\chi^4-10\chi^2-8\big)\Xi V^3+\big(6\chi^4+83\chi^2+4\big)V^2-44\chi^2-4\Big] \,. \tag{139}$$

The above results agree with [17] to $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{R}^{-2})$. The leading-order term in Eqs. (136) and (137) for $\Xi = 0$ (external universe described by a Schwarzschild BH) also agrees with Eqs. (9.52) and (9.53) in [17] and with Eqs. (4a) and (4b) in [26]. The change in the horizon's surface is

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \dot{A}\rangle &= \frac{16\pi}{5}\left(\frac{M}{M_{\rm ext}}\right)^5 M_{\rm ext}\frac{\chi^2}{\sigma}V^{12}\Gamma_1 \\ &\quad - \frac{32\pi}{5}\mathrm{sgn}(\Xi)\left(\frac{M}{M_{\rm ext}}\right)^6 M_{\rm ext}\frac{\chi(\sigma+1)}{\sigma}V^{15}\Gamma_3 \,, \end{aligned} \tag{140}$$

where $\Gamma_3 = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$.

As explained in the previous subsection, when we work in the slow-motion approximation, the leading-order term of Eq. (136) is calculated from Eq. (100). The second term in general is not within the reach of our approximations, but since, again, the binary system is in rigid rotation, $\langle \dot{M}\rangle = \Omega_f \langle \dot{J}\rangle$, where Ω_f is the angular frequency defined in Eq. (135). Then, in the slow-rotation limit of Sec. VI, we find

$$\langle \dot{M}\rangle = \frac{8}{5}\mathrm{sgn}(\Xi)\left(\frac{M}{M_{\rm ext}}\right)^6 M_{\rm ext}(\Omega-\Omega_H)V^{15}\Gamma_0 \,, \tag{141}$$

$$\langle \dot{J}\rangle = \frac{8}{5}\mathrm{sgn}(\Xi)\left(\frac{M}{M_{\rm ext}}\right)^6 M_{\rm ext}\frac{(\Omega-\Omega_H)}{\Omega}V^{15}\Gamma_0 \,, \tag{142}$$

and

$$\langle \dot{A}\rangle = \frac{256\pi}{5}\mathrm{sgn}(\Xi)\left(\frac{M}{M_{\rm ext}}\right)^7 M_{\rm ext}^2\frac{(\Omega-\Omega_H)^2}{\Omega}V^{15}\Gamma_0 \,, \tag{143}$$

where Ω is given by Eq. (129), $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_2(\chi = 0)$ and, as before, the order up to which the above equations are valid is the same as in Eqs. (136), (137) and (140). As before, observe that in the slow-rotation limit the tidal fluxes are suppressed by $\mathcal{O}(M/M_{\rm ext})$ relative to the moderately fast rotating case.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

We studied how the properties of a rotating BH are modified when it is subject to external vacuum perturbations, extending previous calculations to order $\mathcal{R}^{-3}$ in the slow-motion/small-hole approximation. We mainly used the NP scalar ψ_0 to describe these perturbations, where ψ_0 must satisfy the Teukolsky equation.

The asymptotic behavior of ψ_0 depends on the external universe and it is constructed as follows. Working under the assumption that the external universe induces a small perturbation on the background spacetime and it varies slowly (that is, we expand all quantities in powers of $M/\mathcal{R}$, where M is the mass of the BH and $\mathcal{R}$ is the radius of curvature of the external geometry), we parametrized the Weyl tensor of the external spacetime through time-dependent symmetric and trace-free tidal tensors $\mathcal{E}_{ab}$, $\mathcal{B}_{ab}$, $\mathcal{E}_{abc}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{abc}$. Then, the asymptotic form of ψ_0 can be obtained by Taylor expanding the Weyl tensor to the appropriate order in $\mathcal{R}^{-1}$ and expressed in terms of the above mentioned tidal tensors. Then, projecting the Weyl tensor onto a tetrad, one obtains the asymptotic form of ψ_0.

This asymptotic NP scalar suggests a decomposition of the Fourier-transformed $\tilde{\psi}_0$ into multipole modes. The decomposition introduces some unknown radial functions that can be determined by solving the Teukolsky equation, thus allowing $\tilde{\psi}_0$ to also be valid close to the unperturbed horizon, outside the asymptotic region. The time dependence of this full scalar is still given by the tidal tensors, while its angular dependence is given by spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics.

In order to obtain the fluxes across the horizon, we calculate ψ_0 on the (unperturbed) horizon, but this quantity diverges in this limit if ψ_0 is computed in the Kinnersley tetrad. We therefore compute ψ_0 in the Hartle-Hawking tetrad, which is well-behaved at the horizon, and use it to compute the mass and angular momentum fluxes across the horizon, as well as the change in horizon area.

No external universe structure is specified when obtaining these results. The only restriction imposed on the external universe is that it be slowly-varying and its tidal effects on the background BH be small. Once the external universe is specified, for example through a post-Newtonian metric, the tidal tensors could also be determined by asymptotic matching [33–35].

To conclude, we applied our results to an astrophysically motivated scenario: a binary system composed of two rotating BHs. We assumed that the external universe perturbations are due to a Kerr BH and calculated the fluxes of mass and angular momentum and the change of horizon surface are of the background BH for two different cases: a small BH immersed in a large external universe and a slow-motion orbit.

The calculation of the mass and angular momentum fluxes across the BH horizon is a definite step towards understanding the perturbed dynamics around rotating BHs. These fluxes are necessary to self-consistently calculate the evolution of the orbit, and thus, the emitted gravitational radiation. However, in order to fully describe the perturbed spacetime, we need its metric, which could be a possible avenue for future research. The perturbed metric close to the event horizon of the perturbed BH can be constructed from the NP scalar through the Chrzanowski procedure [29, 36–39] and it would allow us to study the geometry of the perturbed horizon [27, 28].

By asymptotically matching this Kerr perturbed metric to a spinning binary PN metric that is valid far from the background BH, we can also obtain a full metric that describes the dynamics of the entire spacetime [33–35, 40]. Such a metric could provide initial data for numerical relativity simulations [41, 42], or it could serve as a background metric for accretion disk studies [43].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Luis Lehner for helpful discussions while working on this paper. NY acknowledges support from NSF grant PHY-1114374 and NASA grant NNX11AI49G, under 00001944. EP acknowledges support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

[1] R. P. Kerr, Phys.Rev.Lett. **11**, 237 (1963)

[2] S. Hawking, Gen.Rel.Grav. **1**, 393 (1971)

[3] S. Hawking, Commun.Math.Phys. **25**, 152 (1972)

[4] W. Israel, Phys. Rev. **164**, 1776 (Dec 1967), `http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.164.1776`

[5] W. Israel, Commun.Math.Phys. **8**, 245 (1968)

[6] B. Carter, Phys.Rev.Lett. **26**, 331 (1971)

[7] E. Poisson and M. Sasaki, Phys.Rev. **D51**, 5753 (1995), arXiv:gr-qc/9412027 [gr-qc]

[8] K. Alvi, Phys.Rev. **D64**, 104020 (2001), arXiv:gr-qc/0107080 [gr-qc]

[9] H. Tagoshi, S. Mano, and E. Takasugi, Prog.Theor.Phys. **98**, 829 (1997), arXiv:gr-qc/9711072 [gr-qc]

[10] H. Fang and G. Lovelace, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 124016 (Dec 2005)

[11] S. A. Hughes, Phys.Rev. **D64**, 064004 (2001), arXiv:gr-qc/0104041 [gr-qc]

[12] K. Martel, Phys. Rev. **D69**, 044025 (2004), gr-qc/0311017

[13] R. H. Price and J. T. Whelan, Phys.Rev.Lett. **87**, 231101 (2001), arXiv:gr-qc/0107029 [gr-qc]

[14] A. Pound and E. Poisson, Phys.Rev. **D77**, 044013 (2008), arXiv:0708.3033 [gr-qc]

[15] N. Yunes, A. Buonanno, S. A. Hughes, M. Coleman Miller, and Y. Pan, Phys.Rev.Lett. **104**, 091102 (2010), arXiv:0909.4263 [gr-qc]

[16] N. Yunes, A. Buonanno, S. A. Hughes, Y. Pan, E. Barausse, M. C. Miller, and W. Throwe, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 044044 (Feb 2011), `http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.044044`

[17] E. Poisson, Phys.Rev. **D70**, 084044 (2004), arXiv:gr-qc/0407050 [gr-qc]

[18] T. Regge and J. A. Wheeler, Phys. Rev. **108**, 1063 (Nov. 1957)

[19] F. J. Zerilli, Phys. Rev. D **2**, 2141 (1970)

[20] S. A. Teukolsky, Astrophys. J. **185**, 635 (1973)

[21] W. H. Press and S. A. Teukolsky, Astrophys.J. **185**, 649 (1973)

[22] S. Teukolsky and W. Press, Astrophys.J. **193**, 443 (1974)

[23] E. Poisson, Phys.Rev.Lett. **94**, 161103 (2005), arXiv:gr-qc/0501032 [gr-qc]

[24] K. Martel and E. Poisson, Phys. Rev. **D71**, 104003 (2005), gr-qc/0502028

[25] S. Taylor and E. Poisson, Phys.Rev. **D78**, 084016 (2008), arXiv:0806.3052 [gr-qc]

[26] S. Comeau and E. Poisson, Phys.Rev. **D80**, 087501 (2009), arXiv:0908.4518 [gr-qc]

[27] E. Poisson and I. Vlasov, Phys.Rev. **D81**, 024029 (2010), arXiv:0910.4311 [gr-qc]

[28] I. Vega, E. Poisson, and R. Massey, Class.Quant.Grav. **28**, 175006 (2011), arXiv:1106.0510 [gr-qc]

[29] N. Yunes and J. A. Gonzalez, Phys. Rev. **D73**, 024010 (2006), gr-qc/0510076

[30] S. A. Teukolsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **29**, 1114 (Oct. 1972)

[31] S. Mano, H. Suzuki, and E. Takasugi, Prog.Theor.Phys. **95**, 1079 (1996), arXiv:gr-qc/9603020 [gr-qc]

[32] Y. Mino, M. Sasaki, and T. Tanaka, Prog.Theor.Phys.Suppl. **128**, 373 (1997), arXiv:gr-qc/9712056 [gr-qc]

[33] N. Yunes, W. Tichy, B. J. Owen, and B. Bruegmann(2005), gr-qc/0503011

[34] N. Yunes and W. Tichy, Phys. Rev. **D74**, 064013 (2006), arXiv:gr-qc/0601046

[35] N. K. Johnson-McDaniel, N. Yunes, W. Tichy, and B. J. Owen, Phys.Rev. **D80**, 124039 (2009), arXiv:0907.0891 [gr-qc]

[36] P. L. Chrzanowski, Phys. Rev. **D11**, 2042 (1975)

[37] A. Ori, Phys.Rev. **D67**, 124010 (2003), arXiv:gr-qc/0207045 [gr-qc]

[38] R. M. Wald, Phys.Rev.Lett. **41**, 203 (1978)

[39] L. S. Kegeles and J. M. Cohen, Phys. Rev. **D19**, 1641 (1979)

[40] L. Gallouin, H. Nakano, N. Yunes, and M. Campanelli(2012), arXiv:1208.6489 [gr-qc]

[41] T. Chu, *Numerical simulations of black-hole spacetimes*, Ph.D. thesis, California Institute of Technology (2012)

[42] G. Reifenberger and W. Tichy, Phys.Rev. **D86**, 064003 (2012), arXiv:1205.5502 [gr-qc]

[43] S. C. Noble, B. C. Mundim, H. Nakano, J. H. Krolik, M. Campanelli, *et al.*, Astrophys.J. **755**, 51 (2012), arXiv:1204.1073 [astro-ph.HE]